

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/062,794	01/31/2002	Arthur L. Rosenthal	10177-101	3444	
20583 7	590 04/29/2003				
PENNIE AND EDMONDS			EXAMINER		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100362711		JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	D	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ 1			
*		Applicat		Applicant(s)	*			
Office Action Summary			94	ROSENTHAL ET AL.				
Onice	Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
The MAIL I	NC DATE of this communication	Jackson		3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 31	January 20	02 .					
2a) ☐ This action		his action is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim								
	44 is/are pending in the applicatio							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	is/are objected to.							
	14 are subject to restriction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application Papers								
	ation is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	ay not request that any objection to the							
	d drawing correction filed on		pproved b) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	•	xamıner.						
Priority under 35 U.S	• •							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
· ·-	Some * c)☐ None of:							
	ed copies of the priority document							
	ed copies of the priority document							
ар	s of the certified copies of the priopplication from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)		•						
	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u> .			

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12 and 17-42 are drawn to "A Medical Device with Struts", classified in class 623, subclass 1.42.
 - II. Claims 13-16, drawn to "Methods of Making a Medical Device, classified in class427, subclass 2.1.
 - III. Claims 43-44 are drawn to "Methods of Implanting", classified in class 623, subclass 903.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as delivering a biologically active agent to a medical device by incorporating the agents in the pores of the struts by overlaying the normal geometric strut with a material which would allow diffusion of the agent from the strut of the device.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Art Unit: 3738

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as making a type of gauze structured bandage.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A. Figures 1, 2a-2b, 5-16
- B. Figures 3, 4a-4b, 5-16
- C. Figure 17
- D. Figure 18
- E. Figure 19
- F. Figure 20.
- 7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 3738

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Gidon Stern on 4/25/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3738

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.

- 15. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3580.
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J. Jackson

25 April 2003

Page 5